Response to Crisis: Royal Commissions and Public Inquiries

Dominique Hogan-Doran SC

SOUTH AUSTRALIAN BAR ASSOCIATION CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION 20 MARCH 2018

About the Presenter

Senior Counsel for Commonwealth Bank of Australia subsidiary Aussie Home Loans in the Royal Commission into Misconduct in the Banking, Superannuation and Financial Services Industry (2018)

 $Senior \ Counsel for \ RSL \ Life Care \ in \ the \ \underline{\textit{Public Inquiry under the Charitable Fundraising Act 1991}} \ (2017-2018)$

Senior Counsel for Greyhound Racing NSW CEO in Special Commission of Inquiry Into the Greyhound Racing Industry (2015-2016)
Senior Counsel for John Holland/Thiess EGM in Royal Commission Into Trade Union Governance and Corruption (2014-2015)

Junior Counsel Assisting the <u>James Hardie Special Commission of Inquiry</u> (2004) and subsequently for ASIC in <u>civil penalty proceedings</u> against directors and officers of James Hardie (2008-2010)

Junior Counsel for global reinsurer Hannover Re in the <u>HIH Insurance Royal Commission</u> (2001-2003) and subsequent <u>shareholder class action</u> (2004-2005) and against APPA in <u>AAT, Federal Court and High Court disqualification proceedings</u> (2005-2007)

Commissions: a snapshot

- Highest and most prestigious form of inquiry on matters of public importance
- Distinct advisory instrument
- Ad hoc temporary bodies
 Can have great impact on public policy and government action
 expose unknown corruption and gross

- maladministration force wide-ranging administrative reform
- highlight substantial deficiencies in governance

Royal Commissions in Australia - Cth

- 134 Commonwealth Royal Commissions & Commissions of Inquiry since 1902
- In the early years, mimicked UK experience where deployed as means of inquiring into social, economic, colonial and constitutional questions.
- Those ad hoc bodies had many of the characteristics of today's law reform
- Since 1950, inquisitorial assignments have represented over 80 percent.

Royal Commissions in Australia - Cth

Ten Royal Commissions/ Commissions of Inquiry this century

- Royal Commission into HIH Insurance (2001–2003)

- Royal Commission into Building & Construction Industry (2001–2003)
 Royal Commission into Building & Construction Industry (2001–2003)
 Royal Commission to Inquire into the Centenary House Lease (2004)
 Inquiry into certain Australian companies in relation to the UN Oil-For-Food Programme (2005–2006)

- 2006)

 Figuine Influenza Inquiry (2008)

 Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse (2013–2017)

 Royal Commission into the Home Insulation Program (2013–2014)

 Royal Commission into Trade Union Governance and Corruption (2014–2015)

 Royal Commission into the Child Protection and Youth Detention Systems of the Government of the Northern Territory (2016–present)

 Royal Commission into Misconduct in the Banking, Superannuation and Financial Services Industry (2018)

Royal Commissions in Australia - SA

The first Royal Commission in South Australia was in 1859 (into the loss of the "SS Admella") and 78 Commissions were convened in the period prior to Federation.

Since 1902, there have been 103 Commissions in South Australia, including 7 this century:

- Since 1902, there have been 103 Commissions in South Australia Commission of Inquiry into Children in State Care (2004-2008) Commission of Inquiry into Children on the APY Lands (2004-2008) Kapunda Road Royal Commission (2005) Royal Commission re Independent Education (2012/13) Child Protection Systems Royal Commission (2014-2016) Nuclear Fuel Cycle Royal Commission (2015) Murray Darling Basin Royal Commission (2015)

_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			

Commissions across Australia

- Royal Commission (Communist Party) Act 1949 (Vic) into activities of Communist Party in Victoria
- NSW Royal Commission into NSW Police Service ("Wood Royal Commission") (1994–1997)
- Queensland Commission of Inquiry Into Possible Illegal Activities and Associated Police Misconduct (1989) (the "Fitzgerald Inquiry")
- "WA Royal Commission into Commercial Activities of Government and Other Matters ("WA Inc Royal Commission") (1990–1992)

 NSW Special Commission of Inquiry into Medical Research & Compensation Foundation ("the James Hardie Inquiry") (2004)
- Victorian Bushfires Royal Commission (2009)
- Queensland Floods Commission of Inquiry (2012)

Royal Commissions – unique character

- Appointed by executive government

 Not "judicial" inquiries, although frequently chaired by (former) judges

 Clough v Leaby (1904) 2 CLR 139

 McGuiness v Attomey-General (Vict) (1940) 63 CLR 73 at 84 per Latham CJ, 100-101 per Dixon J

 Lockwood v The Commonwealth (1954) 90 CLR 177 at 180-181 per Fullagar J

 Victorio v Australian Building Construction Employees' and Builders Lobourers' Federation (1982) 152 CLR 25 at 147-158 per Brenan J

 X N Australian Frudential Regulation Authority (2007) 226 CLR 630 at 642-63 (43) per Gleeson CJ, Gummow, Hayne, Callinan, Heydon and Crennan JJ

 Heydon and Crennan JJ

- √ Gather facts (fishing expedition)
- Provide impartial, expert and/or independent analysis and advice
- ✓ Provide new, updated research
- ✓ Map new policy directions
- $\checkmark \ \mathsf{Undertake} \ \mathsf{public} \ \mathsf{consultation} \ \mathsf{processes}$
- ✓ Develop and assess policy options
- ✓ Review and evaluate programs and policies
- ✓ Market test new policy ideas
- ✓ Make recommendations for action (including prosecution and enforcement)

3

Royal Commissions – motives may be	Respond to crisis (in confidence)? Answer a real and urgent desire to get to the root of an issue? Respond to public criticism on an issue demanding a	
mixed	review by a third party?	
	 Help deflect public interest in a controversial issue, assist in preservation of political capital and popularity? narrow terms of reference? 	
	limited powers? curtailed processes?	
	 limited resourcing and timeframe? led by biased/inexpert members, perhaps with overtly political or ideological motives? 	
	overtly political or ideological motives?	
		•
	❖ Unexpected outcomes	
Royal Commissions –	 Non-delivery of desired outcomes Poor performance 	
can be risky	❖ Delay❖ Extra cost	
	Interim policy inertia	
	Loss of control of policy agenda	
		•
]
		-
Royal	Require production of documents and other things	
Commissions - are powerful	Require attendance or appearance to answer questions Require information in an approved form	
	Require evidence on oath or affirmation Administer oath or affirmation Inspect, retain and copy any documents or other things	
	Apply to a judge for a warrant to exercise entry, search and seizure powers Receive intercepted information	
	Communicate information relating to contravention of a law Exercise concurrent functions and powers under Commonwealth and state or territory laws	
	Take evidence and make inquiries overseas Apply to a judge for a warrant for the apprehension of a person who fails to appear or attend	

		I
Privileges and immunities curtailed	Client legal privilege can be abrogated Privilege against self-incrimination can be abrogated Direct use immunity applies	
Stra	ategic Considerations	
Q1: what is it about Q2: where will it en Q3: how tell the sto Q4: how lift the lid?	? d? ry?	
Q5: who can instruc Q6: lawyer up? Q7: pay up? Q8: fight or be cont		
Strategic Q1: what's it about?	Terms of reference Minister's statement Parliamentary debates	
instructions to appear in a Royal Commissions can come on quickly with little notice and limited resources and time to get ready	Media coverage Media inquiries Regulator inquiries Whistleblowers	

1			1
	Strategic Q2: where will it end? When the rules of evidence do not apply And procedural fairness obligations are limited. Evidence can be used against a person in subsequent administrative proceedings: X & Y v APRA (2007) NCA	Referral for further investigation by regulators, professional bodies Cancellation of license or banning orders Criminal prosecution Civil penalty proceedings Appointment of external administrator Compensation proceedings (class actions) Law reform	
			1
	Strategic Q3: how tell the story? Media & PR spin is not the only or best we hade	Await compulsory questioning under oath/affirmation? V Prepare an early submission and/or witness statements?	
[
	Strategic Q4: how	Produce documents and	
	lift the lid?	information only under notice? V	
	Recognizing strength of compulsory powers v	Voluntarily produce documents and information?	

**Compulsory notices * Within power? (what is legislative source/TOR?) * Construction of terms of notice * Who should be author of response? * Who should inform the author? * Who should certify the response? * What (needs) to be protected? * Self incrimination privilege: available to individual but not corporate entity? * Legal Professional Privilege: available? Sustainable? * Confidentiality: available? blanket? sustainable?

Strategic Q5:
who can
instruct?

Conflict management is a major
challenge

Board/sub-committee/management/GC?

Managing conflicts of interest and duty

Maintaining confidentiality/Chinese walls

Records of decisions/instructions

Strategic Q6: lawyer up?

Royal Commissions are draining on staff morale and time. Your client's inhouse legal teams may Internal legal resourcing

>>

External legal resourcing

>>

Other resourcing
(accountants, experts, PR)

		_	
Strategic Q7: pay up? Royal Commissions are very very expension Insurance questions can be difficult to resolve quickly Management of independent legal representation can be time consuming and difficult	Self insure (budget, contingencies)? Constitution and/or deed of indemnity (exposure)? External insurance (entity, D&O, professional indemnity) (scope, representation, reservations)? Remediation/Early Dispute Resolution?		
Strategic Q8: fight or be contrite? Who will provide the ultimate instructions, on what basis, and when? Who will be held to account?	React - Contest - Resist - Await v Assess - Apologise - Embrace - Remediate		
]	
	mments/Questions?		
Twitter @DHoganDo	oranSC <u>www.dhdsc.com.au</u>		