

## IN THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT and FAMILY COURT OF AUSTRALIA (DIVISION 2) AT SYDNEY

		File No:
CNT1 Applie		
MUL' First F ADM	TICULTURAL AF Respondent	GRATION, CITIZENSHIP, MIGRANT SERVICES AND FAIRS PEALS TRIBUNAL
		ORDER
BEFORE:		REGISTRAR CARNEY
DATE:		07 November 2022
MADE AT:		MELBOURNE (In Chambers)
ву С	ONSENT, THE CO	OURT ORDERS THAT:
1.	The name of the first respondent be amended to 'Minister for Immigration,	
	Citizenship and Mi	ulticultural Affairs'.
2.	A writ in the naturits decision dated	e of certiorari issue directed to the second respondent quashing

4. The first respondent pay the applicant's costs fixed in the sum of \$3,930.

determine according to law the application for review made on

3. A writ of mandamus issue directed to the second respondent requiring it to

## BY CONSENT, THE COURT NOTES THAT:

The first respondent (the Minister) accepts that the application must be allowed on the basis that the decision of the second respondent (the Tribunal) is affected by jurisdictional error of the kind identified in Minister for Immigration and Citizenship v



Registrar

SZRKT (2013) 212 FCR 99; [2013] FCA 317 at [111]–[112] per Robertson J. In particular, the Tribunal overlooked a statutory declaration of the applicant's wife, dated 21 May 2019 (**CB 60; CB 396: [129]**). This error was material because the statutory declaration was cogent and significant evidence and it bore upon the Tribunal's consideration of the prescribed circumstances under regulation 2.41 of the *Migration Regulations 1994* (Cth) which are relevant to the exercise of the discretion to cancel the applicant's visa under section 109 of the *Migration Act 1958* (Cth).

By the Court

**DATE ENTERED: 7 November 2022**